## Application of Ruthenium Complexes of Triazole-Containing Tridentate Ligands to Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones

## LETTERS 2012 Vol. 14, No. 20 5230–5233

ORGANIC

Tarn C. Johnson, William G. Totty, and Martin Wills\*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

m.wills@warwick.ac.uk

## Received August 23, 2012



The synthesis of a series of tridentate ligands based on a homochiral 1,2-diamine structure attached to a triazole group and their subsequent applications to the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of ketones are described. In the best cases, alcohols of up to 93% ee were obtained. Although base is not required, the use of  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  as metal source is essential, indicating a unique mechanism for the formation of the active catalyst.

The development of improved catalysts for the asymmetric reduction of ketones represents a continuing challenge to synthetic chemists.<sup>1</sup> During the course of an ongoing project directed at the development of new catalysts for asymmetric transfer hydrogenation (ATH) of ketones and imines,<sup>2-4</sup> we elected to study the use of ligands containing triazole donor groups.<sup>5</sup> Several examples of tridentate ligands have been reported for ATH reactions,<sup>4</sup> some of which contain a triazole donor group, such as  $1^{4a}$  and  $2^{4b}$  (Scheme 1). Closely related ligand **3** was

<sup>(1) (</sup>a) Ikariya, T.; Blacker, A. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 1300–1308. (b) Ikariya, T.; Murata, K.; Noyori, R. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2006**, *4*, 393–406. (c) Gladiali, S.; Alberico, E. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, *36*, 226–236. (d) Clapham, S. E.; Hadzovic, A.; Morris, R. H. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *248*, 2201–2237.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Hashiguchi, S.; Fujii, A.; Takehara, J.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 7562–7563. (b) Fujii, A.; Hashiguchi, S.; Uematsu, N.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 2521–2522. (c) Haack, K. J.; Hashiguchi, S.; Fujii, A.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 178, 2521–2522. (c) Haack, K. J.; Hashiguchi, S.; Fujii, A.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 179, 263–2788. (d) Matsumura, K.; Hashiguchi, S.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 8738–8739. (e) Casey, C. P.; Johnson, J. B. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, 68, 1998–2001. (f) Wang, C.; Wu, X. F.; Xiao, J. L. Chem. Asian J. **2008**, 3, 1750–1770. (g) Handgraaf, J.-W.; Meijer, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, 129, 3099–3103. (h) Soni, R.; Cheung, F. K.; Clarkson, G. C.; Martins, J. E. D.; Graham, M. A.; Wills, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2011**, 9, 3290–3294.

<sup>(3) (</sup>a) Wills, M. In Modern Reduction Methods; Andersson, P. G., Munslow, I. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008; Chapter 11, pp 271–296. (b) Uematsu, U.; Fujii, A.; Hashiguchi, S.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 4916–4917. (c) Blacker, J.; Martin, J. Scale up studies in Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation. In Asymmetric Catalysis on an Industrial Scale: Challenges, Approaches and Solutions; Blaser, H. U., Schmidt, E., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 2004, pp 201–216. (d) Sun, X.; Manos, G.; Blacker, A. J.; Martin, J.; Gavriilidis, A. Org. Process Res. Dev. **2004**, 8, 909–914. (e) Williams, G. D.; Wade, C. E.; Wills, M. Chem. Commun. **2005**, 4735–4737.

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Timmis, F.; Adolfsson, H. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 4536-4539. (b) Cambreiro, X. C.; Pericas, M. A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 113–124. (c) Evans, D. A.; Nelson, S. G.; Gagne, M. R.; Muci, A. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 3817–3818. (e) Yutong, J.; Qiongzhong, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 3817–3818. (e) Yutong, J.; Qiongzhong, J.; Guoxin, Z.; Xumu, Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 6565–6568. (f) Bøgevig, A.; Pastor, I. M.; Adolfsson, H. Chem.—Eur. J. 2004, 10, 294– 302. (g) Zaitsev, A. B.; Adolfsson, H. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5129–5132. (h) Diaz-Valenzuela, M. B.; Phillips, S. D.; France, M. B.; Gunn, M. E.; Clarke, M. L. Chem—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 1227–1232. (i) Baratta, W.; Benedetti, F.; Del Zotto, A.; Fanfoni, L.; Felluga, F.; Magnolia, S.; Putignano, E.; Rigo, P. Organometallics 2010, 29, 3563–3570.

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Struthers, H.; Mindt, T. L.; Schibli, R. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, *39*, 675–696. (b) Rodionov, V. O.; Presolski, S. I.; Gardinier, S.; Lim, Y.-H.; Finn, M. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12696–12704. (c) Liu, D.; Gao, W.; Dai, Q.; Zhang, X. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4907–4910. (d) Detz, R. J.; Heras, S. A. V.; de Gelder, R.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Hiemstra, H.; Reek, J. N. H.; van Maarseveen, J. H. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 3227–3230.

not as effective. A complex of ligand **2** also reduced tetralone in 94% ee and 4-chromanone in >99% ee, although the reduction of *ortho*-methoxyacetophenone gave a product of just 34% ee.<sup>4b</sup>

The enantioselective reduction by ATH of *ortho*substituted products of ketone reduction is generally considered to be more challenging than that of related *meta-* and *para-*substituted substrates.<sup>2</sup> Most established systems commonly reduce such substrates in lower ee values than the analogous *meta-* or *para-*substituted substrates.

**Scheme 1.** Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones Using Ligands Containing a Triazole Group<sup>4a,b</sup>



We therefore chose to investigate a ligand structure and metal combination sharply different than those previously reported, in the expectation that these might provide an opportunity to develop catalysts for the asymmetric reduction of a wider range of substrates (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Triazole-containing ligands used in ketone ATH.

In initial studies, we examined a series of ligands which contain one or two triazole groups, some of which could potentially act as either tri-<sup>4</sup> or tetradentate<sup>6</sup> ligands. Ligand **4** was prepared via asymmetric reduction of  $\alpha$ -amino ketone **5** to alcohol **6** in 90% ee using the tethered catalyst **7**.<sup>7,8</sup> Following the precedent set by

related ligands,<sup>4</sup> Cu(I)-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) was found to be an effective method for the creation of the required heterocyclic groups.<sup>9</sup>



Ligands 8 and 9 were prepared using the two alkylation products ( $11^{10}$  and 12) of Boc-protected cyclohexyl-1,2diamine 10 isolated from the first step of the sequence (Scheme 2). Reaction of 1,2-diphenyl-1,2-diaminoethane with propargyl bromide could be partially controlled to give the N,N'-dialkylated (38%) or the N-monoalkylated (52%) products. Subsequent [3 + 2]-cycloaddition of these intermediates with benzylazide furnished ligands 13 and 14, respectively. Nonprotected derivative 15 was also prepared.



Initial tests focused on the use of a range of metals with ligand **8**, which was closest in structure to those previously reported. Of these, only  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  gave a product of any significant ee. However, this represented a promising result, using a metal source not commonly employed in ATH reactions (Table 1). The use of the other ligands

<sup>(6) (</sup>a) Gao, J.-X.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. Organometallics **1996**, *15*, 1087–1089. (b) Sues, P. E.; Lough, A. J.; Morris, R. H. Organometallics **2011**, *30*, 4418–4431. (c) Sonnenberg, J. F.; Coombs, N.; Dube, P. A.; Morris, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, *134*, 5893–5899. (d) Lagaditis, P. O.; Lough, A. J.; Morris, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, *133*, 9662–9665. (e) Morris, R. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2009**, *8*, 2282–2291.

<sup>(7) (</sup>a) Hayes, A. M.; Morris, D. J.; Clarkson, G. J.; Wills, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7318–7319. (b) Cheung, F. K.; Lin, C.; Minissi, F.; Lorente Crivillé, A.; Graham, M. A.; Fox, D. J.; Wills, M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4659–4662. (c) Cheung, F. K.; Clarke, A. J.; Glarkson, G. J.; Fox, D. J.; Graham, M. A.; Lin, C.; Lorente Crivillé, A.; Wills, M. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 1395–1402. (d) Soni, R.; Collinson, J.-M.; Clarkson, G. C.; Wills, M. Org. Lett. 2017, 13, 4304–4307.

<sup>(8)</sup> The reduction of the analogous substrate to **5** but with Ph in place of TBS groups gave an alcohol of 97% ee.

<sup>(9) (</sup>a) Finn, M. G.; Fokin, V. V. In *Catalysis without Precious Metals*; Bullock, R. M., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2010; Chapter 10. (b) Wang, B.-G.; Zou, M.-H.; Li, W.-Z.; Chai, X.-Y.; Yu, S.-C.; Wu, Q.-Y. *Dier Junyi Daxue Xuebao* 2010, *31*, 1114–1119. (c) Mindt, T. L.; Schweinsberg, C.; Brans, L.; Hagenbach, A.; Abram, U.; Tourwée, D.; Garcia-Garayoa, E.; Schibli, R. *ChemMedChem* 2009, *4*, 529–539. (d) de la Fuente, V.; Marcos, R.; Cambreiro, X. C.; Castillon, S.; Claver, C.; Pericas, M. A. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2011, *353*, 3255–3261.

<sup>(10) (</sup>a) Takasu, K.; Azuma, T.; Takemoto, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 2737–2740. (b) Takasu, K.; Azuma, T.; Erkhtaivan, I.; Takemoto, Y. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 8327–8348.

Table 1. ATH of Acetophenone Using Ligands 4, 8, 9 and  $13^{a}$ 

		O Metal complex 1 mol % ligand, <i>i</i> 2.5 mol % KOH <sup>c</sup>	-PrOH <sup>a</sup>		H C	ιΗ 、	
			temp	t	conv	ee	
entry	ligand	metal/(mol %)	(°C)	(h)	(%)	%	R/S
1	8	$RuCl_{3}\!\cdot\!3H_{2}O\left(1\right)$	80	24	0		
<b>2</b>	8	$\left[Ru(benzene)Cl_2\right](0.5)$	80	24	53	0	
3	8	$[RhCp^*)Cl_2](0.5)$	80	24	74	0	
4	8	$[RhCp^*)Cl_2](0.5)$	28	24	80	67	R
<b>5</b>	8	$\operatorname{Fe}_{x}(\operatorname{CO})_{y}^{b}(1)$	80	24	0		
6	8	$Ru_{3}(CO)_{12}(1)$	80	6	48	80	R
7	8	$Ru_3(CO)_{12}(0.66)$	80	6	48	81	R
8	8	$Ru_{3}(CO)_{12}(0.33)$	80	6	88	78	R
9	4	$Ru_{3}(CO)_{12}(1)$	80	24	18	18	R
10	9	$Ru_{3}(CO)_{12}(0.33)$	80	48	70	44	S
11	10	$Ru_{3}(CO)_{12}(0.33)$	80	24	16	<b>2</b>	R
12	13	Ru <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub> (0.33)	80	24	99	69	$\boldsymbol{S}$
13	8	$Ru_3(CO)_{12} 0.33)$	60	24	63	76	R
14	8	$Ru_{3}(CO)_{12}(0.33)^{c}$	80	24	98	79	R
<sup><i>a</i></sup> [Acetophenone] = 0.1 M. <sup><i>b</i></sup> $x,y = 1,5; 2,9; 3,12.$ <sup><i>c</i></sup> No KOH.							

did not give an improved result with this combination of reagents.



Changing the metal to ligand ratio resulted in improved conversions; a ratio of 1:1 proved to be optimal, and the initial selection of a 3:1 metal to ligand ratio was based on a related system where a cluster complex was proposed to be the active catalyst.<sup>11</sup>

Lowering the temperature to 60 °C resulted in lower conversion and eventually to the loss of all catalytic activity. Most interestingly, control experiments showed that a base was not required for reduction to take place. No reaction occurred when a 5:2 formic acid/triethylamine (FA/TEA) mixture was used as the solvent and hydrogen donor.

With these promising results in hand, further tests were carried out under the revised conditions (Table 2) with 14 and the deprotected 15. In addition, the N-tosylated ligands 16 and 17 were prepared using a CuAAC cycloaddition of the propargylic intermediates 18 and 19, respectively (Table 2).

Ligand 14 was similar in reactivity to 8. Removal of the Boc group from 8, however, resulted in almost total loss of activity. The best results were provided by the

5232

diamine-based ligands **16** and **17**; a product was formed in 92% ee and almost quantitative conversion using **17**.



Having identified **17** as an optimal ligand, the reduction of a further series of substrates was completed (Table 3). An electron-withdrawing trifluoromethyl group in the *para* position of the substrate had little effect on the reaction, with essentially quantitative conversion and 91% ee after 16 h. An electron-donating *para*-methoxy group required a longer reaction time of 65 h to reach 91% conversion. A methoxy group in the *ortho* or *meta* positions did not impact the reactivity; in both cases, the reaction was complete after 16 h. It is noteworthy that a series of *ortho*-substituted acetophenones could be reduced in good enantioselectivity without significant reduction of the ee, which stands in contrast to the usual behavior of challenging *ortho*-substituted substrates in ATH reactions, as discussed earlier.<sup>2</sup>

Ĺ	0 0.33 1 mo 24 h,	mol % Ru <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub> I % ligand, 80 °C <i>i-</i> PrOH	H OH	
entry	ligand	conv (%)	ee %	R/S
1	8	98	78	R
2	14	97	76	R
3	15	12	3	R
4	16	94	80	R
5	17	97	92	R
<sup>a</sup> [Aceto	$\mathbf{D}$ ophenone] = 0.1	97 M.	92	к

Table 2. ATH of Acetophenone Using Ligands 8 and  $14-17^{a}$ 

A bulky bromine substituent in the *ortho* position, however, resulted in a longer reaction time and reduced enantioselectivity. Substitution in the  $\alpha$ -position is not tolerated, with propiophenone being reduced in just 4% conversion and  $\alpha$ -chloroacetophenone resisting any reduction, even after long reaction times. This may indicate a very well-defined binding pocket in the active catalyst. Cyclohexyl methyl ketone was reduced in 93% conversion but required 88 h, and the enantioselectivity was low. An attempted reduction of alkyne-containing PhCCCOMe failed to give any reduction product.

To gather information about the mechanism of the reaction, ligands 20-23 were prepared. Compound 21 is a known compound,<sup>2</sup> and 20 was prepared by an analogous reductive amination procedure. Ligand 22 was prepared via reductive methylation<sup>10</sup> of 19 using methanal

<sup>(11)</sup> Zhang, H.; Yang, C.-B.; Li, Y.-Y.; Donga, Z.-R.; Gao, J.-X.; Nakamura, H.; Murata, K.; Ikariya, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 142–143.

**Table 3.** Reduction of a Range of Ketones Using  $17^{a}$ 

	o ⊥	0.33 mol % Ru <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub> 1 mol % <b>17</b> , 80 °C H_OH					
	Ar <sup>r</sup> R -	24 h, <i>i-</i> Pi	гОН	Ar R			
entry	Ar	R	$t\left(\mathbf{h} ight)$	conv (%)	ee %	R/S	
1	$4\text{-}\mathrm{CF}_3\mathrm{C}_6\mathrm{H}_4$	Me	16	99	91	R	
<b>2</b>	$4-MeOC_6H_4$	Me	65	91	89	R	
3	$3-MeOC_6H_4$	Me	16	97	93	R	
4	$2-MeOC_6H_4$	Me	16	98	85	R	
5	$2 \text{-FC}_6 \text{H}_4$	Me	16	99	83	R	
6	$2-ClC_6H_4$	Me	16	96	84	R	
7	$2\text{-BrC}_6\text{H}_4$	Me	65	99	77	R	
8	$2 - MeC_6H_4$	Me	44	89	87	R	
9	tetralone		88	27	79	R	
10	4-chromanone		20	96	91	R	
11	2-pyridyl	Me	44	52	67	R	
12 <sup><i>a</i></sup> IV	cyclohexyl	Me	88	93	13	R	
ĮΚ	etonej = 0.1  M.						

and sodium cyanoborohydride to give 24, followed by a CuAAC reaction with benzylazide to form the required ligand 22. Methylation of 19 using MeI and  $K_2CO_3$ , in contrast, furnished 25, which was subsequently converted to 23.



The reduction of acetophenone with benzyl-substituted diamines (R,R)-20 and (R,R)-21 gave only trace conversions of acetophenone to 1-phenylethanol, indicating that the triazole may be bound to the metal center in the active catalyst. A similar observation was made when 22 and 23 were applied to the ATH of acetophenone; < 5% reduction was observed in either case, which indicates that the presence of both NH groups is essential for formation of a competent catalyst.

The ligands may form an active catalyst through an initial reaction with fragmentation of  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  to form a bidentate complex via loss of CO to give **26**, followed by insertion of ruthenium into the N-H(Ts) bond to form ruthenium hydride **27** (Scheme 3) which transfers two

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism of Catalysis by 17/Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>



hydrogen atoms to substrate to give **28**. Reaction of **28** with *i*-PrOH may then regenerate **27** to complete a catalytic cycle. The mechanism of transfer of hydrogen from **27** to a ketone may be analogous to the known "bifunctional" catalysis mechanism exhibited by related Ru(II)/TsDPEN ATH catalysts.<sup>12</sup>

Heating **17** and  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  at 80 °C in toluene for 5h resulted in formation of a complex which contained two signals at ca. -16 and -17 ppm in the 300 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), that is, indicative of the formation of a Ru–H species. These signals may be due to formation of hydride species in the proposed mechanism.

In conclusion, tridentate diaminotriazoles in conjunction with  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  in 2-propanol formed effective catalysts for ATH reactions of ketones. The reductions proceed without the need for base, and enantioselectivities of up to 93% were obtained. Notably, a range of *ortho*-substituted acetophenones could be reduced in up to 99% conversion and 85% ee.

Acknowledgment. We thank the EPSRC for funding T.C.J. via the SUPERGEN XIV programme "Delivery of Sustainable Hydrogen" for funding (to T.C.J.).

**Supporting Information Available.** Experimental procedures, NMR spectra, and chiral GC data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs. acs.org.

<sup>(12) (</sup>a) Noyori, R.; Yamakawa, M.; Hashiguchi, S. J. Org. Chem.
2001, 66, 7931–7944. (b) Yamakawa, M.; Yamada, I.; Noyori, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2818–2821. (c) Casey, C. P.; Johnson, J. B. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1998–2001. (d) Brandt, P.; Roth, P.; Andersson, P. G. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 4885–4890.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.